

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/687,420	10/13/2000	Peter Joseph Rock	13DV13812	8491
29399 7	7590 03/17/2003	•		
JOHN S. BEULICK			EXAMINER	
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			CHEN, CHONGSHAN	
SUITE 2600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	* (1)	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/687,420	ROCK ET AL.					
· Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Chongshan Chen	2172					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimulian apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•					
∟(י 2a)⊠		— · s action is non-fina						
3)□	, —							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
·	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration	on.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) 🔲 -	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
1 X _	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
40.	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the partition of the priority of the partition of the par							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic priority under 35 H.S.C. \$ 440(a) (to a manufacture of a claim for demostic pri							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment			33 ·= · 2···2· · · · · · · · · ·					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:					

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communications: Amendment A, filed on 12/31/02. This action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haq et al. ["Haq", 6,275,812].

As per claim 1, Haq disclosing a method for determining candidates to interview, said method comprising the steps of:

providing pre-determined desired qualities for a candidate (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36, "ISDRM allows specific job functions (roles and responsibilities) in a specialty to be identified by a skills template. A skill template basically identifies the relevant importance of each skill (Weights) and the skill level (Index) required in each skill to perform a job function. ... A template basically identifies what skill levels are required and what is their importance for a job function");

generating a database including at least one characteristic for each individual (Haq, Fig. 2, ISDRM Database);

Art Unit: 2172

normalizing the characteristics, normalizing includes comparing the at least one characteristic to a related pre-determined desired quality, and assigning a value to the at least one characteristic based on the comparison (Haq, col. 6, lines 5-50, "Suitability Skill Index Average (SSIA) gives a measure of the suitability of an employee for an assignment. To assess the suitability of an employee, for a particular job assignment, his/her skills, from the skills assessment forms in the employee database are assessed against the given skills template for a job function. A suitability assessment form is generated for the employee in which the skill weights are duplicated from the skills template and the skill indices, for the employee, are copied from the Employee Database"):

displaying results for each individual based on the normalized characteristics (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43, "outputs of various of request/queries to the database").

Haq discloses select suitable employees (Haq, col. 5, lines 52-53), but does not disclose select to interview. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select candidates to interview in order to decide which candidate is the most suitable candidate through the interview process if there are several candidates with same the high suitability weight value.

As per claim 2, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further discloses storing the predetermined desired qualities for a candidate within the database, the desired qualities include at least one of analytical ability, self-confidence, initiative, change orientation, and interpersonal skills (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-60).

As per claim 3, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further discloses obtaining pre-determined desired qualities associated with each characteristic

Art Unit: 2172

(Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36); and normalizing characteristics of each candidate with the predetermined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 6, lines 5-50).

As per claim 4, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further discloses summing the normalized characteristics of each candidate; and dividing the sum total of the normalized characteristics by a pre-determined value representing a total amount possible (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 5, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further discloses displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in at least one of a tabular output format and a graphical output format.

Claims 6-8 rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-3.

As per claim 9, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further discloses

rank each candidate based on normalized characteristics (Haq, Fig. 11); and sum the normalized characteristics of each candidate (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 10, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 9, and further discloses divide the sum total of all normalized characteristics by an amount representing a pre-determined possible total (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 11, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 6, and further discloses displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in at least one of a tabular output format and a graphical output format.

Art Unit: 2172

Claims 12-13 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-2.

As per claim 14, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further discloses normalizing the characteristics, said processor further programmed with predetermined desired qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36).

As per claim 15, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further discloses normalize the characteristics, said processor further programmed to normalize candidate characteristics with known qualities associated with each characteristic (Haq, col. 5, lines 25-36).

As per claim 16, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 12, and further discloses summing the normalized characteristics of each candidate; and dividing the sum total of the normalized characteristics by an amount representing a predetermined possible total (Haq, col. 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 17, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 16, and further discloses displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in a tabular output format.

As per claim 18, Haq teaches all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 16, and further discloses displaying the results (Haq, col. 10, lines 40-43), which inherently includes displaying the results of the candidates in a graphical output format.

Art Unit: 2172

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chongshan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y Vu can be reached on (703)305-4393. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

CC March 7, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100